

S/N 09780,044

Page 21

BIGBOW-001-1

REMARKS***Status of the Application:***

Claims 1–81 are the claims of record of the application. Claims 1–7, 25–49 and 65–81 have been rejected and claims 8–24 and 50–64 have been objected to and would be allowable if written in independent form.

Amendment to the Claims:

Applicants have amended the claims to overcome the rejections under 35 USC 112. The claims have further been amended to include in the independent claims those limitations in those dependent claims that were indicated in paragraph 3 of the Office Action to contain allowable subject matter.

In the amendment, independent claims 1, 43 and 79 have been amended to include the limitations of claims 8, and 50, respectively, that contained allowable subject matter.

Claim Rejections -35 USC § 112 Second Paragraph (Indefiniteness)

In paragraph 5 of the office action, claims 26–42, 65–78 and 80–81 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Applicants have amended the claims to overcome these rejections.

The examiner has stated in the Office Action that claims 26–42, 65–78, 80–81 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection(s). Therefore, allowance of these claims is requested.

Claim Rejections -35 USC § 102 and 35 USC § 103

In paragraph 11 of the office action, claims 1–7, 43–49 and 79 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by "Quick Start Guide for www4mai1."

Claim 8 was allowable. Independent claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 8. Claim 8 has been cancelled and claims that were dependent on claim 8 have been made dependent on claim 1. Similarly, each of independent claims 43, and 79, has been amended to include the limitations of claim 50 or similar that was stated as including allowable subject matter. Therefore, these independent claims are now allowable, and are all claims that depend thereon

All the claims, as amended, now contain subject matter that was stated by the Examiner to be allowable. Action to that end is respectfully requested.

For these reasons, and in view of the above amendment, this application is now considered to be in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited.

S/N 09/780,044

Page 22

BIGBOW-001-1

Conclusion


The Applicants believe all of Examiner's rejections have been overcome with respect to all remaining claims (as amended), and that the remaining claims are allowable. Action to that end is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner has any questions or comments that would advance the prosecution and allowance of this application, an email message to the undersigned at dov@inventek.com, or a telephone call to the undersigned at +1-510-547-3378 is requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

May 26, 2005

Date


Dov Rosenfeld, Reg. No. 38687

Address for correspondence:

Dov Rosenfeld
5507 College Avenue, Suite 2,
Oakland, CA 94618
Tel. 510-547-3378
Fax: +1-510-291-2985
Email: dov@inventek.com